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## Classical event structure

$\sigma$-algebra of sets, $\mathcal{L} \subseteq 2^{U}$ :

- $\quad U \in \mathcal{L}$
- $\left\{A_{i} \mid i \in \mathbb{N}\right\} \subseteq \mathcal{L} \Longrightarrow \bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} A_{i} \in \mathcal{L}$

State (=probability measure) $s: \mathcal{L} \rightarrow[0,1]:$

- $\quad s(U)=1$
- $\quad\left\{A_{i} \mid i \in \mathbb{N}\right\} \subseteq \mathcal{L}, A_{i} \cap A_{j}=\emptyset$ for $i \neq j \Longrightarrow s\left(\bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} A_{i}\right)=\sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} s\left(A_{i}\right)$
$\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{L}):=$ state space of $\mathcal{L}$; it is a Choquet simplex
Pure states: extreme points of $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{L})$
Two-valued states: $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{L}) \cap\{0,1\}^{\mathcal{L}}$
For $\sigma$-algebras:
- pure states $=$ two-valued states $=$ points in the Stone space
- $\quad$ state space (even the space of two-valued states) determines the whole structure

We need disjoint, not all unions!
$\sigma$-class of sets, $\mathcal{L} \subseteq 2^{U}$ :

- $\quad U \in \mathcal{L}$
- $\quad\left\{A_{i} \mid i \in \mathbb{N}\right\} \subseteq \mathcal{L}, A_{i} \cap A_{j}=\emptyset$ for $i \neq j \Longrightarrow \bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} A_{i} \in \mathcal{L}$


## Example 1

$W / L=$ Wins/Loses with|without player JJ

$U=\{W|W, W| L, L|W, L| L\}$
$A=\{\emptyset, \underbrace{\{W|W, W| L\}}_{a}, \underbrace{\{L|W, L| L\}}_{a^{\prime}}, U\}$
$B=\{\emptyset, \underbrace{\{W|W, L| W\}}_{b}, \underbrace{\{W|L, L| L\}}_{b^{\prime}}, U\}$
$\mathcal{L}=A \cup B=\left\{\emptyset, a, a^{\prime}, b, b^{\prime}, U\right\}$
$\mathcal{L}$ is a (nondistributive modular) lattice called MO 2

Pure states:
$s: \mathcal{L} \rightarrow\{0,1\}$

$$
\begin{array}{cc}
s(A) & s(B) \\
\hline 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 \\
1 & 0 \\
1 & 1
\end{array}
$$

(S0) $\quad s(U)=1$
(S1) $\quad s\left(x^{\prime}\right)=1-s(x)$
All states:
$s: \mathcal{L} \rightarrow[0,1]$, satisfy (S0), (S1)
$s(A)=p, s(B)=q, \quad p, q \in[0,1]$ arbitrary


## Example 2

Example 1 with one more result, $c=$ match cancelled

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A=\left\{\mathbf{0}, a, c,(a \vee c)^{\prime}, a \vee c, a^{\prime}, c^{\prime}, \mathbf{1}\right\} \\
& B=\left\{\mathbf{0}, b, c,(b \vee c)^{\prime}, b \vee c, b^{\prime}, c^{\prime}, \mathbf{1}\right\} \\
& A \cap B=\left\{\mathbf{0}, c, c^{\prime}, \mathbf{1}\right\} \\
& \mathcal{L}=A \cup B=\left\{\mathbf{0}, a, b, c, a \vee c, b \vee c,(a \vee c)^{\prime},(b \vee c)^{\prime}, a^{\prime}, b^{\prime}, c^{\prime}, \mathbf{1}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$



Pure states:

| $s(A)$ | $s(B)$ | $s(C)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 | 1 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 1 |

All states:
$s(A)=p, s(B)=q, s(C)=r, r \in[0,1]$ arbitrary, $p, q \in[0,1-r]$


## Example 3:


$\mathcal{K}=\left\{\mathbf{0}, B, C, D, B^{\prime}, C^{\prime}, D^{\prime}, \mathbf{1}\right\}$, where $D$ means "the fire-fly is not observed from $\mathrm{K}^{\prime \prime}$ $\mathcal{M}=\left\{\mathbf{0}, A, C, E, A^{\prime}, C^{\prime}, E^{\prime}, \mathbf{1}\right\}$, where $A$ means "the fire-fly is observed in the upper part" $\mathcal{J}=\left\{\mathbf{0}, A, B, F, A^{\prime}, B^{\prime}, F^{\prime}, \mathbf{1}\right\}$
$\mathcal{K} \cup \mathcal{M} \cup \mathcal{J}=\left\{\mathbf{0}, A, B, C, D, E, F, A^{\prime}, B^{\prime}, C^{\prime}, D^{\prime}, E^{\prime}, F^{\prime}, \mathbf{1}\right\}$
This is not a lattice.


Pure states:

| $s(A)$ | $s(B)$ | $s(C)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $1 / 2$ | $1 / 2$ | $1 / 2$ |

All states:
$s(A)=p, s(B)=q, s(C)=r, \quad p, q, r \in[0,1], p+q \leq 1, p+r \leq 1, q+r \leq 1$

## Example 3 (non-transparent barriers)

$\underset{\times}{\text { D }}$

$\stackrel{\times}{B}$


|  | P |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 2 |
| 3 | 4 |
| 5 | 6 |
| 7 | 8 |
| 9 | 10 |
| 11 | 12 |
| 13 | 14 |
| 15 | 16 |
| 17 | 18 |
| 19 | 20 |
| 21 | 22 |
| 23 | 24 |
| 25 | 26 |
| 27 | 28 |
| 29 | 30 |

## Orthomodular lattices

Definition: An orthomodular lattice is a lattice with bounds 0,1 equipped with a unary operation ${ }^{\prime}: \mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}$ (orthocomplementation) such that, for all $a, b \in \mathcal{L}$,

- $a^{\prime \prime}=a$
- $a \leq b \Longrightarrow b^{\prime} \leq a^{\prime}$
- $a \wedge a^{\prime}=\mathbf{0}$
- $a \leq b \Longrightarrow b=a \vee\left(a^{\prime} \wedge b\right)$ (orthomodular law)

Orthogonality: $a \perp b \Longleftrightarrow a \leq b^{\prime}$
(This condition is strictly stronger than the usual $a \wedge b=0$.)
Example: A $\sigma$-class of subsets needs not be a lattice; if it is, it is an OML.

## Structure of orthomodular lattices

Boolean subalgebra: $\mathcal{M} \subseteq \mathcal{L}$ such that

- $0,1 \in \mathcal{M}$,
- $a \in \mathcal{M} \Longrightarrow a^{\prime} \in \mathcal{M}$,
- $\left(\mathcal{M}, \leq \upharpoonright_{\mathcal{M}},{ }^{\prime} \upharpoonright_{\mathcal{M}}\right)$ is a Boolean algebra.

Compatibility: $a \leftrightarrow b \Longleftrightarrow \exists$ Boolean subalgebra $\mathcal{M}: a, b \in \mathcal{M}$
Block: a maximal Boolean subalgebra
Center: The set of all $a \in \mathcal{L}$ such that $\forall b \in \mathcal{L}: a \leftrightarrow b$
$=$ the set of all "absolutely compatible" elements
$=$ the classical part of the system
$=$ the intersection of all blocks
Atom: $a \in \mathcal{L} \backslash\{0\}$ such that there is no $b$ satisfying $0<b<a$ $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{L}):=$ the set of all atoms of $\mathcal{L}$
( $\sigma$-additivite) state: $s: \mathcal{L} \rightarrow[0,1]$ such that

- $s(\mathbf{1})=1$
- $\left\{a_{i} \mid i \in \mathbb{N}\right\} \subseteq \mathcal{L}, a_{i} \perp a_{j}$ for $i \neq j \Longrightarrow s\left(\bigvee_{i \in \mathbb{N}} a_{i}\right)=\sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} s\left(a_{i}\right)$


## Orthomodular lattices as families of Boolean algebras

Every OML is the union of its maximal Boolean subalgebras (=blocks)
Hypergraph: a nonempty set (of vertices) and its covering by nonempty subsets (edges)
Greechie diagram: hypergraph whose vertices are atoms and edges are blocks
State on a hypergraph: evaluation of vertices such that the sum over each edge is 1
Problem: Which hypergraphs are Greechie diagrams of OMLs?


©
$m p$
13/42

$$
a \vee b=e \vee f \perp g \vee h
$$



## Orthoalgebras

Allowed


## Forbidden



In particular, the state space may be empty [Rogalewicz]:


## Smaller example with empty state space [Greechie]:



Even smaller example with empty state space [R. Mayet]:


This is the smallest example with empty state space obtained by this technique and it is not unique [MN 08]; it has 19 blocks.
OMLs with $\leq 5$ blocks admit states [Riečanová 07].

## Bell inequalities

$$
\begin{aligned}
& s(a)+s(b)-s(a \wedge b) \leq 1 \\
& 0 \geq s(a \wedge b)+s(b \wedge c)+s(c \wedge d)-s(a \wedge d)-s(b)-s(c) \\
& s(a)+s(b)+s(c)-s(a \wedge b)-s(a \wedge c)-s(b \wedge c) \leq 1 \\
& s(a \wedge b)+s(b \wedge c)+s(c \wedge d)-s(a \wedge d)-s(b)-s(c) \geq-1
\end{aligned}
$$

The first is equivalent to the valuation property:
$s(a \wedge b)+s(a \vee b)=s(a)+s(b)$
If the OML is not a Boolean algebra and admits a rich set of states, all Bell inequalities are violated.

## Crucial example of a quantum structure: Hilbert lattice

H ... a separable Hilbert space (real or complex)
$L(H)$... the set of all closed subspaces of $H$ (equivalently, all projectors of $H$ )

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{0} & =\{0\}, \\
\mathbf{1} & =H, \\
A \leq B & \Longleftrightarrow A \subseteq B, \\
A \wedge B & =A \cap B, \\
A^{\prime} & =\{x \in H \mid \forall y \in A: y \perp x\}, \\
A \vee B & =\operatorname{Lin}(A \cup B),
\end{aligned}
$$

where Lin denotes the closed linear hull

| m | p |
| :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 2 |
| 3 | 4 |
| 5 | 6 |
| 7 | 8 |
| 9 | 10 |
| 11 | 12 |
| 13 | 14 |
| 15 | 16 |
| 17 | 18 |
| 19 | 20 |
| 21 | 22 |
| 23 | 24 |
| 25 | 26 |
| 27 | 28 |
| 29 | 30 |

1. For $q \in H,\|q\|=1$, define a vector state

$$
s_{q}\left(\operatorname{Lin}\left(\left\{y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n}\right\}\right)\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(q \cdot y_{i}\right)^{2}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \cos ^{2} \varangle\left(q, y_{i}\right)
$$

for any orthonormal basis $\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n}\right)$ of $H$

| m | p |
| :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 2 |
| 3 | 4 |
| 5 | 6 |
| 7 | 8 |
| 9 | 10 |
| 11 | 12 |
| 13 | 14 |
| 15 | 16 |
| 17 | 18 |
| 19 | 20 |
| 21 | 22 |
| 23 | 24 |
| 25 | 26 |
| 27 | 28 |
| 29 | 30 |

1. For $q \in H,\|q\|=1$, define a vector state

$$
s_{q}\left(\operatorname{Lin}\left(\left\{y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n}\right\}\right)\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(q \cdot y_{i}\right)^{2}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \cos ^{2} \varangle\left(q, y_{i}\right)
$$

for any orthonormal basis $\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n}\right)$ of $H$
Corollary: $s_{q}(q)=1$

| $m$ | $p$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 2 |
| 3 | 4 |
| 5 | 6 |
| 7 | 8 |
| 9 | 10 |
| 11 | 12 |
| 13 | 14 |
| 15 | 16 |
| 17 | 18 |
| 19 | 20 |
| 21 | 22 |
| 23 | 24 |
| 25 | 26 |
| 27 | 28 |
| 29 | 30 |

1. For $q \in H,\|q\|=1$, define a vector state

$$
s_{q}\left(\operatorname{Lin}\left(\left\{y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n}\right\}\right)\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(q \cdot y_{i}\right)^{2}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \cos ^{2} \varangle\left(q, y_{i}\right)
$$

for any orthonormal basis $\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n}\right)$ of $H$
Corollary: $s_{q}(q)=1$
2. Mixture of vector states $\quad s(P)=\sum_{i} c_{i} s_{q_{i}}(P)$, where $c_{i}>0, \sum_{i} c_{i}=1$.

| m | p |
| :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 2 |
| 3 | 4 |
| 5 | 6 |
| 7 | 8 |
| 9 | 10 |
| 11 | 12 |
| 13 | 14 |
| 15 | 16 |
| 17 | 18 |
| 19 | 20 |
| 21 | 22 |
| 23 | 24 |
| 25 | 26 |
| 27 | 28 |
| 29 | 30 |

1. For $q \in H,\|q\|=1$, define a vector state

$$
s_{q}\left(\operatorname{Lin}\left(\left\{y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n}\right\}\right)\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(q \cdot y_{i}\right)^{2}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \cos ^{2} \varangle\left(q, y_{i}\right)
$$

for any orthonormal basis $\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n}\right)$ of $H$
Corollary: $s_{q}(q)=1$
2. Mixture of vector states $\quad s(P)=\sum_{i} c_{i} s_{q_{i}}(P)$, where $c_{i}>0, \sum_{i} c_{i}=1$.
3. What else?

| m | p |
| :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 2 |
| 3 | 4 |
| 5 | 6 |
| 7 | 8 |
| 9 | 10 |
| 11 | 12 |
| 13 | 14 |
| 15 | 16 |
| 17 | 18 |
| 19 | 20 |
| 21 | 22 |
| 23 | 24 |
| 25 | 26 |
| 27 | 28 |
| 29 | 30 |

1. For $q \in H,\|q\|=1$, define a vector state

$$
s_{q}\left(\operatorname{Lin}\left(\left\{y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n}\right\}\right)\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(q \cdot y_{i}\right)^{2}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \cos ^{2} \varangle\left(q, y_{i}\right)
$$

for any orthonormal basis $\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n}\right)$ of $H$
Corollary: $s_{q}(q)=1$
2. Mixture of vector states $\quad s(P)=\sum_{i} c_{i} s_{q_{i}}(P)$, where $c_{i}>0, \sum_{i} c_{i}=1$.
3. What else?

## Nothing!

Gleason's Theorem [Gleason 57]: For $\operatorname{dim} H \geq 3$, all states are mixtures of vector states.

| m | p |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 2 |
| 3 | 4 |
| 5 | 6 |
| 7 | 8 |
| 9 | 10 |
| 11 | 12 |
| 13 | 14 |
| 15 | 16 |
| 17 | 18 |
| 19 | 20 |
| 21 | 22 |
| 23 | 24 |
| 25 | 26 |
| 27 | 28 |
| 29 | 30 |

## Gleason's Theorem

Crucial case: $H=\mathbb{R}^{3}$ (simplified proof by [Cooke, Keane, Moran 85]).
Corollary 1: The restriction of a state to 1D subspaces is continuous (proved by [von Neumann 1932] even for $\mathbb{R}^{2}$, error found by [Hermann 1935],

Corollary 2: A finitely-valued state is constant on 1D subspaces, i.e., it is a dimension function.

| $m$ | $p$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 2 |
| 3 | 4 |
| 5 | 6 |
| 7 | 8 |
| 9 | 10 |
| 11 | 12 |
| 13 | 14 |
| 15 | 16 |
| 17 | 18 |
| 19 | 20 |
| 21 | 22 |
| 23 | 24 |
| 25 | 26 |
| 27 | 28 |
| 29 | 30 |

## Gleason's Theorem

Crucial case: $H=\mathbb{R}^{3}$ (simplified proof by [Cooke, Keane, Moran 85]).
Corollary 1: The restriction of a state to 1D subspaces is continuous (proved by [von Neumann 1932] even for $\mathbb{R}^{2}$, error found by [Hermann 1935],

Corollary 2: A finitely-valued state is constant on 1D subspaces, i.e., it is a dimension function.

Crucial corollary: There is no two-valued state (=hidden variable) (answer to a question by [Einstein, Podolsky, Rosen 35], simplified proofs by [Bell 64, Bell 66], [Kochen, Specker 67], ... , [Peres 95]).
$m p$

| 1 | 2 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 3 | 4 |
| 5 | 6 |
| 7 | 8 |
| 9 | 10 |
| 11 | 12 |
| 13 | 14 |
| 15 | 16 |
| 17 | 18 |
| 19 | 20 |
| 21 | 22 |
| 23 | 24 |
| 25 | 26 |
| 27 | 28 |
| 29 | 30 |

## Gleason's Theorem

Crucial case: $H=\mathbb{R}^{3}$ (simplified proof by [Cooke, Keane, Moran 85]).
Corollary 1: The restriction of a state to 1D subspaces is continuous (proved by [von Neumann 1932] even for $\mathbb{R}^{2}$, error found by [Hermann 1935],

Corollary 2: A finitely-valued state is constant on 1D subspaces, i.e., it is a dimension function.

Crucial corollary: There is no two-valued state (=hidden variable) (answer to a question by [Einstein, Podolsky, Rosen 35], simplified proofs by [Bell 64, Bell 66], [Kochen, Specker 67], ... , [Peres 95]).

There is no colouring of non-zero vectors by two colors (blue, red) such that each orthogonal basis contains exactly one red vector

| m | p |
| :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 2 |
| 3 | 4 |
| 5 | 6 |
| 7 | 8 |
| 9 | 10 |
| 11 | 12 |
| 13 | 14 |
| 15 | 16 |
| 17 | 18 |
| 19 | 20 |
| 21 | 22 |
| 23 | 24 |
| 25 | 26 |
| 27 | 28 |
| 29 | 30 |

Constructions proving Geometrical Lemmas
MGL


Constructions proving the non-existence of two-valued states in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$

It is possible to find a finite set of vectors whose orthogonality relations exclude the possibility of a two-valued state.

The smallest example known uses 31 vectors, the following uses 33 vectors:


| 1 | 2 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 3 | 4 |
| 5 | 6 |
| 7 | 8 |
| 9 | 10 |
| 11 | 12 |
| 13 | 14 |
| 15 | 16 |
| 17 | 18 |
| 19 | 20 |
| 21 | 22 |
| 23 | 24 |
| 25 | 26 |

Constructions proving the non-existence of two-valued states in $\mathbb{R}^{4}$

Theorem: [Cabello] There is no two-valued state on $\mathcal{L}\left(\mathbb{R}^{4}\right)$.
Take 36 vectors in $\mathbb{R}^{4}(\overline{1}$ denotes -1$)$ :

| 1000 | 1000 | 0100 | 1111 | 1111 | $111 \overline{1}$ | $11 \overline{1} \overline{1}$ | $111 \overline{1}$ | $11 \overline{1} 1$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0100 | 0010 | 0010 | $11 \overline{1} \overline{1}$ | $1 \overline{1} 1 \overline{1}$ | $11 \overline{1} 1$ | $1 \overline{1} 1 \overline{1}$ | $1 \overline{1} 11$ | $1 \overline{1} 11$ |
| 0011 | 0101 | 1001 | $1 \overline{1} 00$ | $10 \overline{1} 0$ | $1 \overline{1} 00$ | 1001 | $10 \overline{1} 0$ | $100 \overline{1}$ |
| $001 \overline{1}$ | $010 \overline{1}$ | $100 \overline{1}$ | $001 \overline{1}$ | $010 \overline{1}$ | 0011 | 0110 | 0101 | 0110 |

Each of the 9 column represents an orthogonal basis of $\mathbb{R}^{4}$ and each vector occurs twice. The number of vectors of unit state in this table must be both even and odd (9)—a contradiction.

| $m$ | $p$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 2 |
| 3 | 4 |
| 5 | 6 |
| 7 | 8 |
| 9 | 10 |
| 11 | 12 |
| 13 | 14 |
| 15 | 16 |
| 17 | 18 |
| 19 | 20 |
| 21 | 22 |
| 23 | 24 |
| 25 | 26 |
| 27 | 28 |
| 29 | 30 |

## Corollaries for group-valued states

Theorem: There is no nontrivial $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$-valued state, $s$, on $\mathcal{L}\left(R^{4}\right)$ which satisfies $s(\mathbb{1})=1$.
Theorem: If $n \geq 4$, then there is no nontrivial $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$-valued state on $\mathcal{L}\left(R^{n}\right)$.
For $n \geq 5$ it follows from the above construction.
The refinement for $n=4$ is due to [Harding, Jager, Smith]
Open problem: Are there nontrivial $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$-valued states on $\mathcal{L}\left(R^{3}\right)$ ?
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## Details of pictures
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