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Introduction

Task: Given training examples \( \{(x_1, y_1), \ldots, (x_m, y_m)\} \in (\mathbb{R}^n \times \{+1, -1\}) \) i.i.d. from unknown \( p^*(x, y) \), the goal is to learn Bayes classifier \( q: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \{+1, -1\} \) which minimizes the expected classification error \( E_{p^*}[y \neq q(x)] \).
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- Construct the plug-in Bayes classifier \( \hat{y} \in \arg\max_{y \in \{+1, -1\}} p(x, y; \theta_{ML}) \) where \( \theta_{ML} \) is the ML estimate of \( \theta \).

**Support Vector Machines learning (Discriminative paradigm)**

- Assume a linear classifier well approximates the Bayes classifier.
- Find the linear classifier which minimizes (an approximation of) the empirical risk.

**Our contribution to the problem:**

1. We construct a probabilistic model under which the ML learning and the SVM learning become equivalent.
2. We illustrate the benefits of this new view by giving a probabilistic interpretation to established SVM-related heuristics.
Linear Support Vector Machine classifier without bias

- For technical reasons, we consider the linear SVM without bias.
- We seek a linear classifier without bias (=separating hyperplane passing via the origin)

\[ q_{SVM}(x; w) = \begin{cases} 
+1 & \text{if } \langle x, w \rangle \geq 0, \\
-1 & \text{if } \langle x, w \rangle < 0.
\end{cases} \]
For technical reasons, we consider the linear SVM without bias.

We seek a linear classifier without bias (=separating hyperplane passing via the origin)

\[ q_{\text{SVM}}(x; w) = \begin{cases} 
  +1 & \text{if } \langle x, w \rangle \geq 0, \\
  -1 & \text{if } \langle x, w \rangle < 0.
\end{cases} \]

For fixed hyper-parameters \( \lambda \in \mathbb{R}^{++} \) and \( \omega \in (0, 1) \) the parameter vector \( w \) is computed by solving

\[
    w_{\text{SVM}}(\lambda, \omega) = \arg\min_{w \in \mathbb{R}^n} \left[ \frac{\lambda}{2} \|w\|^2 + R_{\text{SVM}}(w; \omega) \right]
\]

where

\[
    R_{\text{SVM}}(w; \omega) = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \omega^{y_i} \ell(y_i \langle w, x_i \rangle)
\]

is the convex risk given by the hinge loss \( \ell(t) = \max\{0, 1 - t\} \) and cost-factors \( \omega^+ = \omega \) and \( \omega^- = 1 - \omega \) for the positive and negative class where \( \omega \in (0, 1) \).
Proposed semi-paramateric probabilistic model

\[ p(x, y; \tau, \omega, u) = Z(\tau, \omega) \cdot \exp \left( -\omega y \ell(y \langle x, \tau u \rangle) \right) \cdot h(x) \]
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The parameters of the distribution:
1. strictly positive scalar \( \tau \in \mathbb{R}^{++} \)
2. scalar \( \omega \in (0, 1) \) defining \( \omega^+ = \omega \) and \( \omega^- = 1 - \omega \)
3. unit vector \( u \in \mathcal{U} = \{u' \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \|u'\| = 1\} \)
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The non-parametric term \( h : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \) is an arbitrary positive and integrable radial basis function \( (h(x) = h(\|x\|)) \). For example:

\[ h_1(x) = \exp(-\langle x, c_1 E x \rangle) \]
\[ h_2(x) = c_2[\|x\| \leq c_3] \]
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Proposed semi-parametric probabilistic model

\[ p(x, y; \tau, \omega, u) = Z(\tau, \omega) \cdot \exp \left( - \omega^y \ell(y \langle x, \tau u \rangle) \right) \cdot h(x) \]

- Normalization constant
- Exponent of hinge loss
- Non-parametric term
Marginals, posteriors and comparison to Logistic Regression

Marginal distribution

\[ p(x; \tau, \omega, u) = Z(\tau, \omega) \cdot h(x) \cdot f(x; \tau, \omega, u) \]

with the parametric term (RED)

\[ f(x; \tau, \omega, u) = \sum_{y \in \{1,-1\}} \exp(-\omega^y \ell(y \langle \tau u, x \rangle)) \]

Posterior probability (BLUE)

\[ p(y \mid x; \tau, \omega, u) = \frac{\exp(-\omega^y \ell(y \langle \tau u, x \rangle))}{f(x; \tau, \omega, u)} \]

Logistic Regression model (GREEN)

\[ p_{LR}(y \mid x; \tau u) = \frac{1}{1 + \exp(-y \langle \tau u, x \rangle)} \]
ML estimation compared to SVM learning

Given examples \( \{(x_1, y_1), \ldots, (x_m, y_m)\} \) the negative log-likelihood reads
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L(\tau, \omega, u) = - \sum_{i=1}^{m} \log p(x_i, y_i; \tau, \omega, u) = R_{SVM}(\tau u; \omega) - m \log Z(\tau, \omega) - \sum_{i=1}^{m} \log h(x_i)
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\[
u_{ML}(\tau, \omega) \in \arg\min_{u \in U} L(\tau, \omega, u) = \arg\min_{u \in U} R_{SV M}(\tau u; \omega)
\]

**SVM learning:** given hyper-parameters \( \lambda \) and \( \omega \) we compute

\[
w_{SV M}(\lambda, \omega) = \arg\min_{w \in \mathbb{R}^n} \left[ \frac{\lambda}{2} \|w\|^2 + R_{SV M}(w; \omega) \right]
\]
ML estimate and SVM learning give the same parameters

**Theorem:** For any \( \omega \in (0, 1) \), \( \lambda \in \mathbb{R}^{++} \) and \( \tau = \| w_{SVM}(\lambda, \omega) \| \) it holds

\[
\tau \cdot u_{ML}(\tau, \omega) = w_{SVM}(\lambda, \omega)
\]
ML estimate and SVM learning give the same parameters

**Theorem:** For any $\omega \in (0, 1)$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^{++}$ and $\tau = \|w_{SVM}(\lambda, \omega)\|$ it holds

$$\tau \cdot u_{ML}(\tau, \omega) = w_{SVM}(\lambda, \omega)$$

**ML estimate can be seen as re-parametrization of the SVM learning:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SVM learning</th>
<th>hyper-parameter 1</th>
<th>hyper-parameter 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\lambda$</td>
<td>regularization constant</td>
<td>$\omega$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\tau$</td>
<td>reciprocal to margin</td>
<td>$\omega$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Plug-in Bayes classifier = SVM classifier

Bayes classifier minimizing the expected classification error is based on comparing the posterior probabilities \( p(y = +1 \mid x) \geq p(y = -1 \mid x) \).

Plug-in Bayes classifier derived from the model \( p(x, y; \tau, \omega, u) \) reads

\[
q_{\text{Bayes}}(x; \tau, \omega, u) = \begin{cases} 
+1 & \text{if } \langle \tau u, x \rangle \geq b \\
-1 & \text{if } \langle \tau u, x \rangle < b 
\end{cases}
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\[
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\end{cases}
\]
Plug-in Bayes classifier = SVM classifier

Bayes classifier minimizing the expected classification error is based on comparing the posterior probabilities $p(y = +1 \mid x) \geq p(y = -1 \mid x)$.

Plug-in Bayes classifier derived from the model $p(x, y; \tau, \omega, u)$ reads

$$q_{Bayes}(x; \tau, \omega, u) = \begin{cases} +1 & \text{if } \langle \tau u, x \rangle \geq b \\ -1 & \text{if } \langle \tau u, x \rangle < b \end{cases} \quad \text{where} \quad b = 2\omega - 1$$

SVM classifier reads

$$q_{SVM}(x; \omega) = \begin{cases} +1 & \text{if } \langle \omega, x \rangle \geq 0 \\ -1 & \text{if } \langle \omega, x \rangle < 0 \end{cases}$$

Theorem: Let $\omega = 0.5$. Then, for any linear SVM classifier there exists an equivalent plug-in Bayes classifier whose parameters are estimated by the ML principle, i.e., the equality

$$q_{SVM}(x; w_{SVM}(\lambda, \omega)) = q_{Bayes}(x; \tau, \omega, u_{ML}(\tau, \omega))$$

holds for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^{++}$ and $\tau = \|w_{SVM}(\lambda, \omega)\|$. 
Application 1: SVM classifier with different cost factors

In the case of unbalanced training data the following heuristics are common:

1. Set a higher cost-factor for the class which is less represented in the data. E.g., if the first class is the smaller one, then set $\omega > 0.5$, that is, $\omega^+ > \omega^-$. 

2. After learning the vector $\mathbf{w}$ tune only the bias $b$ of the linear classifier.
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Application 1: SVM classifier with different cost factors

In the case of unbalanced training data the following heuristics are common:

1. Set a higher cost-factor for the class which is less represented in the data.
   E.g., if the first class is the smaller one, then set $\omega > 0.5$, that is, $\omega^+ > \omega^-$.  
2. After learning the vector $w$ tune only the bias $b$ of the linear classifier.

Interpretation of the heuristics using the proposed probabilistic model:

1. **Theorem:** For any $\tau \in \mathbb{R}^{++}$, the prior probability derived from $p(x, y; \tau, \omega, u)$ is

   $p(y = +1; \tau, \omega) = 0.5$ if $\omega = 0.5$
   $p(y = +1; \tau, \omega) < 0.5$ if $\omega > 0.5$
   $p(y = +1; \tau, \omega) > 0.5$ if $\omega < 0.5$

2. The plug-in Bayes classifier under the model $p(x, y; \tau, \omega, u)$ leads to biased linear rule

   $$q_{Bayes}(x; \tau, \omega, u) = \begin{cases} 
   +1 & \text{if } \langle \tau u, x \rangle \geq b \\
   -1 & \text{if } \langle \tau u, x \rangle < b 
   \end{cases}$$

   $b = 2\omega - 1 = \begin{cases} 
   = 0 & \text{if } \omega = 0.5 \\
   < 0 & \text{if } \omega > 0.5 \\
   > 0 & \text{if } \omega < 0.5 
   \end{cases}$
Application 2: Probabilistic outputs

On top of recovering the SVM decision function, the probabilistic model also naturally provides **probabilistic outputs**.

Easy-to-compute posterior probability:

\[
p(y \mid x; \tau, \omega, u) = \frac{\exp(-\omega^y \ell(y \langle \tau u, x \rangle))}{\sum_{y \in \{1,-1\}} \exp(-\omega^y \ell(y \langle \tau u, x \rangle))} \quad \text{(or } w = \tau u)\]

\]

where \(\ell(y \langle \tau u, x \rangle)\) is the loss function and \(\omega\) is a parameter of the model.
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On top of recovering the SVM decision function, the probabilistic model also naturally provides **probabilistic outputs**.

Easy-to-compute posterior probability:

\[
p(y \mid x; \tau, \omega, u) = \frac{\exp(-\omega y \ell(y \langle \tau u, x \rangle))}{\sum_{y \in \{1,-1\}} \exp(-\omega y \ell(y \langle \tau u, x \rangle))} \quad \text{(or } w = \tau u)\]

This provides a convenient alternative to the commonly used Platt’s approach which is based on retrospectively fitting the sigmoid function to the SVM outputs.

The shape of SVM posterior is very similar to the Logistic model (sigmoid) used by Plat.
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Classification Maximum Likelihood principle applied to the model \( p(x, y; \tau, \omega, u) \) finds the labels by (approximately) solving
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\]

**Classification Maximum Likelihood** principle applied to the model \( p(x, y; \tau, \omega, u) \) finds the labels by (approximately) solving

\[
y_{CML}(\tau) = \text{Argmin}_{y \in \{1, -1\}^m} \min_{u \in \mathcal{U}} \left[ -\sum_{i=1}^{m} \log p(x_i \mid y_i; \tau, \omega = 0.5, u) \right]
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**Theorem:** Let \( y_{MMC}(\lambda) \) be a set of minimizers of the MMC problem for some \( \lambda \in \mathbb{R}^{++} \). Then, for any labeling \( y^* \in y_{MMC}(\lambda) \) there exists \( \tau \in \mathbb{R}^+ \) such that \( y^* \) is a minimizer of the CML problem, i.e., \( y^* \in y_{CML}(\tau) \) holds.
Conclusions

Contributions:

- We set up a probabilistic model which shows that SVMs have a generative flavor.
- The probabilistic model provides simple probabilistic outputs.
- The probabilistic model allows principled engineering of new algorithms in probabilistic framework, e.g.
  - balancing the data;
  - the maximum margin clustering.

Outlook:

- Does it work with bias?
- Does it work with kernel?
- Can we use Maximum-Likelihood to estimate the remaining hyper-parameters?
- EM algorithm based treatment of missing data, e.g. semi-supervised learning, missing features etc.
- Different loss functions can be used, e.g. reject option.
- ...
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