

RANSAC

RANdom SAMple Consensus

Tomáš Svoboda, svoboda@cmp.felk.cvut.cz

courtesy of Ondřej Chum, Jiří Matas

Czech Technical University in Prague, Faculty of Electrical Engineering,

Department of Cybernetics, Center for Machine Perception

<http://cmp.felk.cvut.cz>

Last update: November 20, 2009;

- ♦ importance for robust model estimation
- ♦ principle
- ♦ application



Importance for Computer Vision



- ♦ published in 1981 as a model fitting method [2]
- ♦ on of the most cited papers in computer vision and related fields (around 3900 citations according to Google scholar in 11/2009)
- ♦ widely accepted as a method that works even for very difficult problems
- ♦ recent advancement presented at the “25-years of RANSAC” [workshop](#)¹. Look at the R. Bowless’ [presentation](#).

¹<http://cmp.felk.cvut.cz/ransac-cvpr2006>

LS does not work for gross errors . . .



²sketch borrowed from [3]

RANSAC motivations



- ◆ gross errors (outliers) spoil LS estimation
- ◆ detection (localization) algorithms in computer vision and recognition do have gross error
- ◆ in difficult problems the portion of good data may be even less than $1/2$
- ◆ standard robust estimation techniques [5] hardly applicable to data with less than $1/2$ “good” samples (points, lines, . . .)

RANSAC inputs and output




In: $U = \{x_i\}$ set of data points, $|U| = N$
 $f(S) : S \rightarrow \theta$ function f computes model parameters θ given a sample S from U
 $\rho(\theta, x)$ the cost function for a single data point x

Out: θ^* θ^* , parameters of the model maximizing (or minimizing) the cost function

RANSAC principle

1. select randomly few samples needed for model estimation
2. verify the model
3. keep the best so far model estimated
4. if enough trials then quit otherwise repeat

RANSAC algorithm



$k := 0$

Repeat until $P\{\text{better solution exists}\} < \eta$ (a function of C^* and no. of steps k)

$k := k + 1$

I. Hypothesis


- (1) select randomly set $S_k \subset U$, $|S_k| = s$
- (2) compute parameters $\theta_k = f(S_k)$

II. Verification


- (3) compute cost $C_k = \sum_{x \in U} \rho(\theta_k, x)$
- (4) if $C^* < C_k$ then $C^* := C_k$, $\theta^* := \theta_k$

end

Explanation example: line detection




Explanation example: line detection




- Randomly select two points

Explanation example: line detection




- ◆ Randomly select two points
- The hypothesised model is the line passing through the two points

Explanation example: line detection




- ◆ Randomly select two points
- ◆ The hypothesised model is the line passing through the two points
- The error function is a distance from the line

Explanation example: line detection



- ◆ Randomly select two points
- ◆ The hypothesised model is the line passing through the two points
- ◆ The error function is a distance from the line
- Points consistent with the model

Probability of selecting uncontaminated sample in K trials



- ◆ N - number of data points
- ◆ w - fraction of inliers
- ◆ s - size of the sample

Prob. of selecting a sample with all inliers³: $\approx w^s$

Prob. of **not** selecting a sample with all inliers: $1 - w^s$

Prob. of **not** selecting a good sample K times: $(1 - w^s)^K$

Prob. of selecting uncontaminated sample in K trials at least once:


$$P = 1 - (1 - w^s)^K$$

³Approximation valid for $s \ll N$, see the [lecture notes](#)

How many samples are needed, $K = ?$

How many trials is needed to select an uncontaminated sample with a given probability P ? We derived $P = 1 - (1 - w^s)^K$. Log the both sides to get

$$K = \frac{\log(1 - P)}{\log(1 - w^s)}$$




Real problem— w unknown

Often, the proportion of inliers in data cannot be estimated in advance.


Adaptive estimation: start with worst case and update the estimate as the computation progress

- ◆ set $K = \infty$, $\#samples = 0$, P very conservative, say $P = 0.99$
- ◆ while $K > \#samples$ repeat
 - choose a random sample, compute the model and count inliers
 - $w = \frac{\#inliers}{\#data\ points}$
 - $K = \frac{\log(1-P)}{\log(1-w^s)}$
 - increment $\#samples$
- ◆ terminate


Fitting line via RANSAC



Epipolar geometry estimation by RANSAC



- ◆ U : a set of correspondences, i.e. pairs of 2D points data points
- ◆ $s = 7$ sample size
- ◆ f : seven-point algorithm - gives 1 to 3 independent solutions model parameters
- ◆ ρ : thresholded Sampson's error cost function



References



Besides the main reference [2] the Huber's book [5] about robust estimation is also widely recognized. The RANSAC algorithm received several essential improvements in recent years [1, 6, 7]

For the seven-point algorithm and Sampson's error, see [4]

- [1] Ondřej Chum and Jiří Matas. Matching with PROSAC - progressive sample consensus. In Cordelia Schmid, Stefano Soatto, and Carlo Tomasi, editors, *Proc. of Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR)*, volume 1, pages 220–226, Los Alamitos, USA, June 2005. IEEE Computer Society.
- [2] M.A. Fischler and R.C. Bolles. Random sample consensus: A paradigm for model fitting with applications to image analysis and automated cartography. *Communications of the ACM*, 24(6):381–395, June 1981.
- [3] R. Hartley and A. Zisserman. *Multiple View Geometry in Computer Vision*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, 2000. On-line resources at: <http://www.robots.ox.ac.uk/~vgg/hzbook/hzbook1.html>.
- [4] Richard Hartley and Andrew Zisserman. *Multiple view geometry in computer vision*. Cambridge University, Cambridge, 2nd edition, 2003.
- [5] Peter J. Huber. *Robust Statistics*. Wiley series in probability and mathematical statistics. John Wiley and Sons, 1981.
- [6] Jiří Matas and Ondřej Chum. Randomized RANSAC with $T_{d,d}$ test. *Image and Vision Computing*, 22(10):837–842, September 2004.
- [7] Jiří Matas and Ondřej Chum. Randomized ransac with sequential probability ratio test. In Songde Ma and Heung-Yeung Shum, editors, *Proc. IEEE International Conference on Computer Vision (ICCV)*, volume II, pages 1727–1732, New York, USA, October 2005. IEEE Computer Society Press.

End

